

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_112837
Title of the Manuscript:	Vitamin C Supplementation Lowered Atherogenic Lipid Parameters Among Oil and Gas Workers Occupationally Exposed Petroleum Fumes in Port Harcourt, Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is important. Based on this we can start supplementing the workers who are occupationally exposed to petroleum products to reduce the effect of them.</p> <p>It is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive but there are minor grammatical mistakes and study design was mentioned correctly</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>They are sufficient</p> <p>In results section, it was stated that along with cholesterol and triglycerides, HDL was also increased in group 2 workers. But it was not supported by relevant mechanism in discussion part. How is it related to increased free radical damage.? Further for both statistically significant and not significant the same P value was mentioned as P=0.05. how is it possible?</p>	<p>It has been corrected. Thank you for your keen observation.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. language should be improved.	Ok..... Done.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	